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Note 1. There are omitted predetermined setting and adding "*" means as the same in Brunnermeier and Sannikov(2014)

Suppose we have known the state variables, $q_{t}$ and $K_{t}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d} k_{t} & =\left(\Phi\left(\iota_{t}\right)-\delta\right) k_{t} \mathrm{~d} t+\sigma k_{t} \mathrm{~d} Z_{t}  \tag{1}\\
\mathrm{~d} q_{t} & =\mu_{t}^{q} q_{t} \mathrm{~d} t+\sigma_{t}^{q} q_{t} \mathrm{~d} Z_{t} . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Based on the Ito's Product rule of geometry Brownian Motion:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}\left(k_{t} q_{t}\right)}{k_{t} q_{t}} & =\left(\Phi\left(\iota_{t}\right)-\delta+\mu^{q}+\sigma \sigma_{t}^{q}\right) d t+\left(\sigma+\sigma_{t}^{q}\right) d Z_{t}  \tag{3}\\
\frac{\mathrm{~d} n_{t}}{n_{t}} & =x_{t} \mathrm{~d} r_{t}^{k}+\left(1-x_{t}\right) r \mathrm{~d} t-\frac{\mathrm{d} c_{t}}{n_{t}} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

$N_{t}$ denotes the sum of all experts, and

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} n_{t}}{n_{t}}=x_{t} \mathrm{~d} r_{t}^{k}+\left(1-x_{t}\right) r \mathrm{~d} t-\frac{\mathrm{d} c_{t}}{n_{t}}
$$

and $X_{t}=x_{t}=\psi\left(q_{t} K_{t} / N_{t}\right)=\frac{\psi}{\eta}$ postulate the state equation of $N_{t}$. Let $N_{t}=m \cdot n_{t}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d} N_{t}=d\left(m \cdot n_{t}\right) & =m n_{t} x_{t} \mathrm{~d} r_{t}^{k}+m n_{t}\left(1-x_{t}\right) r \mathrm{~d} t-m \mathrm{~d} c_{t} \\
& =N_{t} x_{t} \mathrm{~d} r_{t}^{k}+N_{t}\left(1-x_{t}\right) r \mathrm{~d} t-\mathrm{d} C_{t}  \tag{5}\\
& =N_{t} \psi q_{t} K_{t}\left(\mathrm{~d} r_{t}^{k}-r \mathrm{~d} t\right)+N_{t} r \mathrm{~d} t-\mathrm{d} C_{t}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\frac{d\left(k_{t} q_{t}\right)}{k_{t} q_{t}}$ is the expert return on capital at time $t$. And $\frac{d\left(q_{t} K_{t}\right)}{q_{t} K_{t}}$ represents the income level of all asset holders at time t, where Expert and Household account for $\psi, 1-\psi$ respectively. We notice
that the total repay including the part of dividend. Expert's total return $d r_{t}^{k}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d} r_{t}^{k} & =\frac{a-\iota}{q_{t}} d t+\frac{d\left(k_{t} q_{t}\right)}{k_{t} q_{t}}  \tag{6}\\
& =\frac{a-\iota}{q_{t}} \mathrm{~d} t+\left(\Phi\left(\iota_{t}\right)-\delta+\mu^{q}+\sigma \sigma_{t}^{q}\right) \mathrm{d} t+\left(\sigma+\sigma_{t}^{q}\right) \mathrm{d} Z_{t}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, we can get the total return rate $d \underline{\underline{r}}_{t}^{k}$ belonging to household

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d} \underline{r}_{t}^{k} & =\frac{\underline{a}-\underline{\iota}}{q_{t}} d t+\frac{\mathrm{d}\left(k_{t} q_{t}\right)}{k_{t} q_{t}} \\
& =\frac{\underline{a}-\underline{\iota}}{q_{t}} d t+\left(\Phi\left(\underline{\iota}_{t}\right)-\underline{\delta}+\mu^{q}+\sigma \sigma_{t}^{q}\right) \mathrm{d} t+\left(\sigma+\sigma_{t}^{q}\right) \mathrm{d} Z_{t} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

do the partial derivative of expert's and household's return ((6) and (7)) relative to $\iota$ such that we can get the optimal condition as follows.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\max _{\iota} \Phi(\iota)-\frac{\iota}{q_{t}} \\
\text { F.O.C. } \Rightarrow \Phi^{\prime}(\iota)=\frac{1}{q_{t}} \\
\iota_{t}=\underline{\iota_{t}}=\iota\left(q_{t}\right)
\end{array}
$$

Therefore, we can combine the optimal investment rate $\iota$ derived from (6) and (7). But the original parameters $a, \delta$ stay the same. The physical assets held by household are

$$
1-\psi_{t}=\frac{1}{K_{t}} \int_{\mathbb{J}} \underline{k_{t}^{j}} \mathrm{~d} j
$$

## Optimal Asset Selection

Under the equilibrium condition, the expectation return of household will no more than free-risk rate. i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{t}\left[\underline{\mathrm{~d}}_{t}^{k}\right] / d t=\frac{\underline{a}-\iota}{q_{t}}+\Phi\left(\iota_{t}\right)-\underline{\delta}+\mu^{q}+\sigma \sigma_{t}^{q} \leq r, \quad 1-\psi_{t}>0 \tag{H}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the households' return over $r$ i.e risk-free rate then household will not lend to experts rather than increasing the investment rate which cutting off the return in the meanwhile.

We need to consider the sum of infinite expected utility of expert to infer the optimal decision function.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{t} n_{t} \equiv E_{t}\left[\int_{t}^{\infty} e^{-\rho(s-t)} d c_{s}\right] \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta_{t}$ is the stochastic opportunities set. Furthermore, we consider such a process,

$$
\Theta_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} e^{-\rho s} n_{s} d \zeta_{s}+e^{-\rho t} \theta_{t} n_{t}
$$

employing the integration by parts formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} \Theta_{t}=e^{-\rho t}\left(n_{t} \mathrm{~d} \zeta_{t}-\rho \theta_{t} n_{t} \mathrm{~d} t+\mathrm{d}\left(\theta_{t} n_{t}\right)\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

from $E\left(\mathrm{~d} \Theta_{t}\right)=0$ we could know that $\Theta_{t}$ is a martingale. And the drift term of $d \Theta_{t}$ equals to zero based on the property of martingale. plug into (10*)

$$
\rho \theta_{t} n_{t}=n_{t} d \zeta_{t}+E\left[d\left(\theta_{t} n_{t}\right)\right]
$$

when $n_{t}$ follows previous equation, also this economic system satisfies the transversality condition $E\left(e^{-\rho t} \theta_{t} n_{t}\right) \rightarrow 0$. Further, it can be proved that the Bellman equation is established by inverse proof, and the optimal strategy under constraints can be obtained:

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho \theta_{t} n_{t} d t & =\max _{\hat{x_{t} \geq 0, d \hat{\zeta} \geq 0}} n_{t} d \hat{\zeta}_{t}+E\left[d\left(\theta_{t} n_{t}\right)\right] \\
\text { s.t. } \quad \frac{d n_{t}}{n_{t}} & =\hat{x_{t}} d r_{t}^{k}+\left(1-\hat{x_{t}}\right) r d t-d \hat{\zeta}_{t} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Proposition 1. Separate the above state variable processes and consider the stochastic process of $\theta$.

$$
\frac{d \theta}{\theta}=\mu_{t}^{\theta} d t+\sigma_{t}^{\theta} d Z_{t}
$$

(i) $\theta \geq 1$, and only consume when $\theta=1$
(ii) $\mu_{t}^{\theta}$ is the drift term of $\theta$ at time $t$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{t}^{\theta}=\rho-r \tag{E}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) any $x_{t}>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a-\iota\left(q_{t}\right)}{q_{t}}+\Phi\left(\iota\left(q_{t}\right)\right)-\delta+\mu^{q}+\sigma \sigma_{t}^{q}-r=-\sigma_{t}^{\theta}\left(\sigma+\sigma_{t}^{q}\right) \tag{EK}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $x_{t}=0, E\left[d r_{t}^{k}\right] / d t-r \leq-\sigma_{t}^{\theta}\left(\sigma+\sigma_{t}^{q}\right)$
(iv) The transversality $E\left[e^{-\rho t} \theta_{t} n_{t}\right] \rightarrow 0$

Proof. According to the hypothesis, Hamilton equation is constructed:

$$
\begin{aligned}
H & =n_{t} \mathrm{~d} \hat{\zeta}_{t}+E\left[d\left(\theta_{t} n_{t}\right)\right]+\theta_{t}\left(n_{t} \mu_{t}^{n}\right)+\sigma_{t}^{\theta} \theta_{t}\left(n_{t} \sigma_{t}^{n}\right) \\
& =n_{t} \mathrm{~d} \hat{\zeta}_{t}+e^{-\rho t}\left(n_{t} \mathrm{~d} \hat{\zeta}_{t}-\rho \theta n_{t}\right)+\theta_{t}\left(-n_{t} \mathrm{~d} \hat{\zeta}_{t}+n_{t} \hat{x_{t}}\left(\frac{a-\iota}{q_{t}}+\Phi\left(\iota_{t}\right)-\delta+\mu^{q}+\sigma \sigma_{t}^{q}\right)+n_{t}\left(1-\hat{x_{t}}\right) r\right) \\
& +\sigma_{t}^{\theta} \theta_{t}\left(n_{t} \hat{x_{t}}\left(\sigma+\sigma_{t}^{q}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\theta$ and $\sigma^{\theta}$ is the co-states and

$$
\begin{gather*}
E\left[\mathrm{~d}\left(\theta_{t} n_{t}\right)\right]=e^{-\rho t}\left(n_{t} \mathrm{~d} \hat{\zeta}_{t}-\rho \theta n_{t}\right) \\
d r_{t}^{k}=\left(\frac{a-\iota}{q_{t}}+\Phi\left(\iota_{t}\right)-\delta+\mu^{q}+\sigma \sigma_{t}^{q}\right) d t+\left(\sigma+\sigma_{t}^{q}\right) d Z_{t}  \tag{10}\\
\frac{\mathrm{~d} \theta_{t}}{\theta_{t}}=\mu_{t}^{\theta_{t}} \mathrm{~d} t+\sigma^{\theta_{t}} \mathrm{~d} Z_{t}=-r \mathrm{~d} t-\sigma_{t}^{\theta} \mathrm{d} Z_{t} \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the first order conditions of $\iota_{t}, \mathrm{~d} \hat{\zeta}_{t}, \hat{x}_{t}$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi^{\prime}\left(\iota_{t}\right)=\frac{1}{q_{t}}  \tag{12}\\
& e^{-\rho t}=\theta_{t}-1  \tag{13}\\
& \frac{a-\iota}{q_{t}}+\Phi\left(\iota_{t}\right)-\delta+\mu^{q}+\sigma \sigma_{t}^{q}-r=-\sigma_{t}^{\theta}\left(\sigma+\sigma_{t}^{q}\right) \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

And $\theta_{t}$ satisfies BSDE condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} \theta_{t}=-\frac{\partial H}{\partial n_{t}} \mathrm{~d} t-\sigma_{t}^{\theta} \theta_{t} \mathrm{~d} Z_{t} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where drift term $\theta_{t}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{t}^{\theta} \theta_{t} & =\frac{\partial H}{\partial n_{t}} \\
& =-\theta_{t}\left[\hat{x_{t}}\left(\frac{a-\iota}{q_{t}}+\Phi\left(\iota_{t}\right)-\delta+\mu^{q}+\sigma \sigma_{t}^{q}\right)+\left(1-\hat{x_{t}}\right) r+\hat{x_{t}} \sigma_{t}^{\theta}\left(\sigma+\sigma_{t}^{q}\right)\right]+\mathrm{d} \hat{\zeta}_{t}+e^{-\rho t}\left(\mathrm{~d} \hat{\zeta}_{t}-\rho \theta\right) \\
& =-r \theta_{t}+\rho \theta_{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the law motion of $\theta_{t}$ is as follows

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} \theta_{t}}{\theta_{t}}=\left(\rho-r_{t}\right) \mathrm{d} t+\sigma^{\theta} \mathrm{d} Z_{t}
$$

when $\hat{x_{t}}=0$ the corresponding F.O.C. transfer to loose constraint

$$
\frac{a-\iota}{q_{t}}+\Phi\left(\iota_{t}\right)-\delta+\mu^{q}+\sigma \sigma_{t}^{q} \leq-\sigma_{t}^{\theta}\left(\sigma+\sigma_{t}^{q}\right) .
$$

Using the first-order condition (F.O.C.) of $d \hat{\zeta}$, we can determine that $\theta_{t}$ is greater than or equal to 1 . As $\theta_{t}$ approaches 1 , the calculated value of $e^{-\rho t}$ approaches 0 , indicating that the discounted value of future consumption in the current period tends towards 0 . Therefore, the expert will opt for current consumption.

## Wealth Distribution

Combining equation (6) with equation (7) using Ito formula, we obtain the following formula:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}\left(q_{t} K_{t}\right)}{q_{t} K_{t}} & =\mathrm{d} r_{t}^{k}-\frac{a-\iota\left(q_{t}\right)}{q_{t}} \mathrm{~d} t-(1-\psi)(\underline{\delta}-\delta) \mathrm{d} t \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{d}\left(k_{t} q_{t}\right)}{k_{t} q_{t}}-(1-\psi)(\underline{\delta}-\delta) \mathrm{d} t  \tag{16}\\
& =\left(\Phi\left(\iota_{t}\right)-\delta+\mu^{q}+\sigma \sigma_{t}^{q}-(1-\psi)(\underline{\delta}-\delta)\right) d t+\left(\sigma+\sigma_{t}^{q}\right) \mathrm{d} Z_{t}
\end{align*}
$$

In mathematical terms, $\eta_{t}$ represents the proportion of an expert's wealth to the entire asset.

$$
\eta_{t} \equiv \frac{N_{t}}{q_{t} K_{t}} \in[0,1]
$$

Using the equation (16), we can determine the motion law for $d\left(\frac{1}{q_{t} K_{t}}\right)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}\left(\frac{1}{q_{t} K_{t}}\right)}{\frac{1}{q_{t} K_{t}}}=-\mathrm{d} r_{t}^{k}+\frac{a-\iota\left(q_{t}\right)}{q_{t}} \mathrm{~d} t+(1-\psi)(\underline{\delta}-\delta) \mathrm{d} t+\left(\sigma+\sigma_{t}^{q}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

By combining equation (5) with (17), we can determine the state variable of $\eta_{t}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d}\left(\frac{1}{q_{t} K_{t}}\right) & =\frac{1}{q_{t} K_{t}}\left(-\mathrm{d} r_{t}^{k}+\frac{a-\iota\left(q_{t}\right)}{q_{t}} \mathrm{~d} t+(1-\psi)(\underline{\delta}-\delta) \mathrm{d} t+\left(\sigma+\sigma_{t}^{q}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} t\right) \\
\mathrm{d} N_{t} & =N_{t}\left(\psi q_{t} K_{t}\left(\mathrm{~d} r_{t}^{k}-r \mathrm{~d} t\right)+r \mathrm{~d} t-\mathrm{d} \zeta_{t}\right) \\
\mathrm{d} \eta_{t} & =\left(\mathrm{d} N_{t}\right) \frac{1}{q_{t} K_{t}}+N_{t} \mathrm{~d}\left(\frac{1}{q_{t} K_{t}}\right)+\psi q_{t} K_{t}\left(\sigma+\sigma_{t}^{q}\right) \frac{-1}{q_{t} K_{t}}\left(\sigma+\sigma_{t}^{q}\right) \mathrm{d} t \\
& =\eta_{t}\left(\psi\left(\frac{1}{\eta_{t}}-1\right)\left(\mathrm{d} r_{t}^{k}-r \mathrm{~d} t\right)-\mathrm{d} \zeta_{t}+\frac{a-\iota\left(q_{t}\right)}{q_{t}} \mathrm{~d} t+(1-\psi)(\underline{\delta}-\delta) \mathrm{d} t\right)+(\eta-\psi)\left(\sigma+\sigma_{t}^{q}\right)^{2} \\
& =\left(\psi_{t}-\eta_{t}\right)\left(\mathrm{d} r_{t}^{k}-r \mathrm{~d} t-\left(\sigma+\sigma_{t}^{q}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} t\right)+\eta_{t}\left(\frac{a-\iota\left(q_{t}\right)}{q_{t}}+\left(1-\psi_{t}\right)(\underline{\delta}-\delta)\right) \mathrm{d} t-\eta_{t} \mathrm{~d} \zeta_{t} \tag{*}
\end{align*}
$$

Based on equation (EK) and the value of $d r_{t}^{k}$, we can deduce:

$$
\mathrm{d} r_{t}^{k}-\left(\sigma+\sigma_{t}^{q}\right) \mathrm{d} Z_{t}=-\sigma_{t}^{\theta}\left(\sigma+\sigma_{t}^{q}\right) \mathrm{d} t+r \mathrm{~d} t
$$

and transfer the above formula to
$\mathrm{d} \eta_{t} / \eta_{t}=\frac{\psi_{t}-\eta_{t}}{\eta_{t}}\left(-\left(\sigma+\sigma_{t}^{q}\right)\left(\sigma_{t}^{\theta}+\sigma+\sigma_{t}^{q}\right)+\frac{a-\iota\left(q_{t}\right)}{q_{t}}+\left(1-\psi_{t}\right)(\underline{\delta}-\delta)\right) \mathrm{d} t-\mathrm{d} \zeta_{t}+\frac{\psi_{t}-\eta_{t}}{\eta_{t}}\left(\sigma+\sigma_{t}^{q}\right) \mathrm{d} Z_{t}$
Thus, the drift of $\mathrm{d} \eta_{t}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{t}^{\eta}=-\sigma_{t}^{\eta}\left(\sigma+\sigma_{t}^{q}+\sigma_{t}^{\theta}\right)+\frac{a-\iota\left(q_{t}\right)}{q_{t}}+\left(1-\psi_{t}\right)(\underline{\delta}-\delta) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The volatility term is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{t}^{\eta}=\frac{\psi_{t}-\eta_{t}}{\eta_{t}}\left(\sigma+\sigma_{t}^{q}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Markov Equilibrium

Use Ito's Lemma to $q\left(\eta_{t}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} q(\eta)=\left(q^{\prime}(\eta)\left(u_{t}^{\eta} \eta\right)+\frac{1}{2} q^{\prime \prime}(\eta)\left(\sigma_{t}^{\eta} \eta\right)^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} t+\sigma_{t}^{\eta} q^{\prime}(\eta) \eta d Z_{t} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

corresponding term to the Brownian term of $\frac{\mathrm{d} q t}{q_{t}}=\mu_{t}^{q} \mathrm{~d} t+\sigma_{t}^{q} \mathrm{~d} Z_{t}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(\eta) \sigma_{t}^{q}=\sigma_{t}^{\eta} q^{\prime}(\eta) \eta \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

(20) plug in above equation we will get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{t}^{q} q(\eta)=q^{\prime}(\eta)(\psi-\eta)\left(\sigma+\sigma_{t}^{q}\right) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sigma_{t}^{q}=\frac{q^{\prime}(\eta)}{q(\eta)} \frac{(\psi-\eta) \sigma}{1-\frac{q^{\prime}(\eta)}{q(\eta)}(\psi-\eta)} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the meanwhile from (EK) and (H) we can infer (C2)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{a-\iota\left(q_{t}\right)}{q_{t}}+\Phi\left(\iota\left(q_{t}\right)\right)-\delta+\mu^{q}+\sigma \sigma_{t}^{q}+\sigma_{t}^{\theta}\left(\sigma+\sigma_{t}^{q}\right)=r  \tag{EK}\\
& \frac{\underline{a}-\iota\left(q_{t}\right)}{q_{t}}+\Phi\left(\iota\left(q_{t}\right)\right)-\underline{\delta}+\mu^{q}+\sigma \sigma_{t}^{q} \leq r  \tag{H}\\
& \frac{a-\underline{a}}{q(\eta)}+\underline{\delta}-\delta+\left(\sigma+\sigma_{t}^{q}\right) \sigma_{t}^{\theta} \geq 0 \tag{C2}
\end{align*}
$$

when $\psi<1$ or $1-\psi>0$ i.e. household holds physical asset as well. When $q(\eta), q^{\prime}(\eta), \theta(\eta)>0$ and $\theta^{\prime}(\eta)<0$, we can infer that $\sigma_{t}^{q}, \sigma_{t}^{\eta}>0$ will go up with $\psi$ and $\sigma_{t}^{\theta}<0$ goes down with $\psi . q(\eta)$ and $\psi$ are unrelated. Only consider the part of $\left(\sigma+\sigma_{t}^{q}\right) \sigma_{t}^{\theta}$. Thus the inequality (C2)'s LHS will go down with $\psi$.

## parameter solutions

from the previously synthetic conditions we can infer that:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu_{t}^{\eta}=-\sigma_{t}^{\eta}\left(\sigma+\sigma_{t}^{q}+\sigma_{t}^{\theta}\right)+\frac{a-\iota(q(\eta))}{q(\eta)}+(1-\psi)(\underline{\delta}-\delta), \\
& \mu_{t}^{q}=r-\frac{a-\iota(q(\eta))}{q(\eta)}-\Phi(q(\eta))+\delta-\sigma \sigma_{t}^{q}-\sigma_{t}^{\theta}\left(\sigma+\sigma_{t}^{q}\right),  \tag{*}\\
& \mu_{t}^{\theta}=\rho-r
\end{align*}
$$

Similar with (21) we can derivative $\theta(\eta)$ employing Ito Lemma:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} \theta(\eta)=\left(\mu_{t}^{\eta} \theta^{\prime}(\eta)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\eta \sigma_{t}^{\eta}\right)^{2} \theta^{\prime \prime}(\eta)\right) \mathrm{d} t+\theta^{\prime}(\eta) \sigma_{t}^{\eta} \eta \mathrm{d} Z_{t} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

use the corresponding term with the Brownian Term in $\frac{\mathrm{d} \theta}{\theta}=\mu_{t}^{\theta} \mathrm{d} t+\sigma_{t}^{\theta} \mathrm{d} Z_{t}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{t}^{\theta}=\frac{\theta^{\prime}(\eta)}{\theta(\eta)} \sigma_{t}^{\eta} \eta \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Take into account the corresponding volatility term.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta(\eta) \mu_{t}^{\theta}=\left(\eta \mu_{t}^{\eta}\right) \theta(\eta)^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma_{t}^{\eta} \eta\right)^{2} \theta(\eta)^{\prime \prime} \Rightarrow \theta\left(\eta_{t}\right)^{\prime \prime}(\eta)=2\left(\theta(\eta) \mu_{t}^{\theta}-\theta(\eta)^{\prime} \mu_{t}^{\eta}\right) /\left(\sigma_{t}^{\eta} \eta\right)^{2}  \tag{26}\\
& q(\eta) \mu_{t}^{q}=\left(\eta \mu_{t}^{\eta}\right) q(\eta)^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma_{t}^{\eta} \eta\right)^{2} q(\eta)^{\prime \prime} \Rightarrow q\left(\eta_{t}\right)^{\prime \prime}(\eta)=2\left(q(\eta) \mu_{t}^{q}-q(\eta)^{\prime} \mu_{t}^{\eta}\right) /\left(\sigma_{t}^{\eta} \eta\right)^{2} \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

You can get it with a little transformation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{\prime \prime}(\eta)=\frac{2\left[\mu_{t}^{q} q(\eta)-q^{\prime}(\eta) \mu_{t}^{\eta} \eta\right]}{\left(\sigma_{t}^{\eta}\right)^{2} \eta^{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad \theta^{\prime \prime}(\eta)=\frac{2\left[\mu_{t}^{\theta} \theta(\eta)-\theta^{\prime}(\eta) \mu_{t}^{\eta} \eta\right]}{\left(\sigma_{t}^{\eta}\right)^{2} \eta^{2}} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Boundary condition

1. under $\eta=0$ i.e. household hold all asset, which $q(0)=\underline{q}$ guarantees
2. proposition 1 when $\theta=1$ expert choose consuming. we denote $\eta^{*}$ as the consumption point, thus $\theta\left(\eta^{*}\right)=1$
3. $q^{\prime}\left(\eta^{*}\right)=0, \theta^{\prime}\left(\eta^{*}\right)=0$ means the economy is stable. If $q^{\prime}\left(\eta^{*}\right)<0$ will make the experts holding assets suffer loss. If $\theta^{\prime}\left(\eta^{*}\right)<0$ would violate proposition1.
4. $\theta(0)=\infty$

By combining the above conditions, we can solve the unknown boundary PDE problem. The specific method is described in the article. The equilibrium domain is $\left[0, \eta^{*}\right]$, and the function boundary is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(0)=\underline{q}, \quad \theta\left(\eta^{*}\right)=1, \quad q^{\prime}\left(\eta^{*}\right)=0, \quad \theta^{\prime}\left(\eta^{*}\right)=0, \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{\eta \rightarrow 0}=\infty \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

make (C2) satisfied, i.e. the return rate of household in (H) equals to free-risk rate. expert also choose consuming.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a-\underline{a}}{q(\eta)}+\underline{\delta}-\delta+\left(\sigma+\sigma_{t}^{q}\right) \sigma_{t}^{\theta}=0 \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{t}^{\eta} \eta=\frac{(\psi-\eta) \sigma}{1-(\psi-\eta) q^{\prime}(\eta) / q(\eta)}, \quad \sigma_{t}^{q}=\frac{q^{\prime}(\eta)}{q(\eta)} \sigma_{t}^{\eta} \eta \quad \text { and } \quad \sigma_{t}^{\theta}=\frac{\theta^{\prime}(\eta)}{\theta(\eta)} \sigma_{t}^{\eta} \eta \tag{12-14}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the domain $\psi \in\left(\eta, \eta+q(\eta) / q^{\prime}(\eta)\right.$ find $\eta$ which satisfies ( $\mathrm{C} 2^{*}$ ) if the found point out of boundary i.e. $\psi>1$ which means the $\eta^{*^{\prime}}$ in $\psi^{\prime}\left(\eta_{t}^{*^{\prime}}\right)$ less than $\eta^{*}$ in $\theta\left(\eta^{*}\right)$ and $q\left(\eta^{*}\right)$. Let $\psi=1$ and calculate (12-14) to get $\theta(\eta)$ and $q(\eta)$ again. At last, calculate eq (19*)

## Detailed algorithm

- To begin with, since $\eta=0$ is a singular point, we must determine the endogenous $\eta$ to match the boundary condition of 0 and $\eta^{*}$.
- Next, we continue with (28) and set the initial condition as $q(0)=\underline{q}, \theta(0)=\theta\left(\eta^{*}\right)=1$, and $\theta(0)=-10^{10}$.
- We assume that $q_{L}=0$ and $q_{H}=10^{15}$.
- We set $q^{\prime}(0)$ to be $\left(q_{L} q_{H}\right) / 2$ as a condition to enter the solution of the ordinary differential equation to obtain $q(\eta)$ and $\theta(\eta)$. Each calculation of the ordinary differential equation can be further calculated to get different $\eta^{*}$. We check if any one of them meets its boundary conditions. If $q^{\prime}(\eta)$ reaches zero, it indicates that the set of initial conditions is falling too fast. We let $q_{L}=q^{\prime}(0)$ if it is not the case that $q^{\prime}(\eta)$ arrives first. Otherwise, we make $q_{H}=q^{\prime}(0)$.
- After multiple iterations, convergence occurs when $q_{L}=q_{H}$.
- Finally, we need to make an adjustment of $\theta\left(\eta^{*}\right)$ such that $\theta\left(\eta^{*}\right)=1$.

solve $\psi$

Enter the loop and put the updated initial value condition into the function for iterative solution. in fnct, we need to get the value of $\Phi$ and $\iota$ from investment fnct. Set the left- right- boundary of $\psi$
to $\eta$ and $\min \left(1, \eta+q(\eta) / q^{\prime}(\eta)\right)$ respectively. Iterating in the iterator, also let $\psi=\left(\psi_{L} \psi_{R}\right) / 2$, and then solve under the given function.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
a m p=1-q^{\prime}(0)(\psi-\eta) / q(0) \\
\sigma^{\eta} \eta=\sigma(\psi-\eta) / a m p \\
\sigma^{\theta}=\sigma^{\eta} \eta \theta^{\prime}(0) / \theta \\
r p=-\sigma^{\theta}\left(\sigma+\sigma^{q}\right) \\
h p=(\underline{a}-a) / q+\delta-\underline{\delta}+r p \tag{33}
\end{array}
$$

When the risk premium of a household is greater than 0 , it means that the household will hold more assets. This will eventually reach equilibrium as $\psi$ changes, resulting in a scalar $\psi$. Once solved, you can input the solved $\psi$ into $\sigma^{\eta} \eta$ and $\sigma^{\eta} \eta$ into $\sigma^{\theta}, \sigma^{q}$. Additionally, you can solve for expressions of $\mu^{q}$ and $\mu^{\eta}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
\mu^{q} & =r-(a-\iota) / q(0)-\Phi+\delta-\sigma \sigma^{q}+r p  \tag{34}\\
\mu^{\eta} \eta & =-(\psi-\eta)\left(\sigma+\sigma^{q}(0)\right)\left(\sigma+\sigma^{q}+\sigma^{\theta}\right)+\eta(a-\iota) / q(0)+\eta(1-\psi)(\underline{\delta}-\delta)  \tag{35}\\
q^{\prime \prime} & =2\left(\left(\mu^{q} q(0)-q^{\prime}(0)\right) \mu^{\eta} \eta\right) / \sigma^{\eta} \eta^{2}  \tag{36}\\
\theta^{\prime \prime} & =2\left((\rho-r) \theta(0)-\theta^{\prime}(0)\right) \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

First, solve the ordinary differential equation about $q(\eta)$ using $q^{\prime \prime}(\eta)$, and then insert the resulting $q(\eta)$ expression into $\theta^{\prime \prime}$ to solve.

